

## REMARKS

Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 1, 3 and 4 remain pending in the application. Claims 1, 3 and 4 have been amended. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, drawings and claims as originally filed.

The Examiner has objected to the title and, accordingly, a new title has been submitted.

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure in view of various informalities. The specification has been amended to overcome the Examiner's objections to the informalities. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner has objected to Claims 1 through 4 for various informalities. Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 1, 3 and 4 have been amended and are believed to overcome the Examiner's informality objections. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw his objections.

### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)


The Examiner has rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) alleging it to be anticipated by the Wilber et al reference.

Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of Claim 2 which the Examiner has indicated as allowable. Accordingly, Applicant believes the Examiner's §102 rejection to be moot. Thus, Applicant believes Claim 1, as well as dependent Claims 3 and 4, to be patentably distinct over the art cited by the Examiner.

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to pass the case to issue at his earliest possible convenience. Should the Examiner have any

questions regarding the present application, he should not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

W. R. Duke Taylor  
Reg. No. 31,306

Dated: July 6, 2004

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

WRDT/jp